UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,177	12/30/2003	David S. Taylor	59131US002	7876
32692 7590 11/12/2009 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, NIHIR B	
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3772	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,177	TAYLOR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NIHIR PATEL	3772
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron the, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4-6 and 8-30 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-5 is/are withdrawn 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-26,29 and 30 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1, 6, 8, 9, 27 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correctio	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate

Application/Control Number: 10/749,177 Page 2

Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed on 08/04/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Before addressing the arguments, the examiner would like to state that the applicant elected group 6 (Figures 25 and 26) in the response to election/restriction filed on February 27th, 2008. In the applicant's arguments/remarks filed on August 4th, 2009, the applicant clearly recited that claims 4 and 5 are found at least in Fig. 9 which belongs to group 1, the non elected species Therefore, claims 4 and 5 are drawn to a non elected species and are withdrawn from consideration.
- 2. The applicant argues that nowhere does the language of 37 CFR 1.83(a) or of the applicable sections of MPEP require, that particular drawings (among others in a patent application) must show all elements of particular claims. The examiner disagrees with the applicant's argument. The drawings (figs. 25 and 26) **pertaining to the elected species** must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The key phrase being **"pertaining to the elected species"** implies that the feature must be shown or cancelled from the claims. The drawings are still objected to under 37 CFR 1.873(a).
- 3. The applicant further argues that Ging does not disclose second respiratory component. The examiner disagrees with the applicant's argument. Figure 5c shows that the respiratory component 34 on both sides indicating first and second respiratory component. The applicant further argues that Ging does not disclose a mounting clip for releasably securing the first and second respiratory component together. The examiner disagrees with the applicant's argument. Figures 9a, 9b and 10a shows a mounting clip for releasably securing the first and second respiratory component together. The applicant

further argues that Ging does not disclose an intermediate portion of the mounting clip that is received within both of the clip openings of the first respiratory component and the free end of the mounting clip are received in the clip openings of the second respiratory component. The examiner disagrees with the applicant's argument. Ging does disclose an intermediate portion of the mounting clip that is received within both of the clip openings of the first respiratory component and the free end of the mounting clip are received in the clip openings of the second respiratory component (see figure 5c). The applicant further argues that Ging does not disclose a belt as recited in claim 6. The examiner disagrees with the applicant's argument. In a broad definition the straps shown in figure 1 is defined as belt. The applicant further argues that Ging does not show biased detent tabs. The examiner disagrees with the applicant's argument. Figures 9a and 9b of the Ging reference shows biased detent tabs. In reference to claims 10-14 and 18-26, the prior art does not disclose a user-wearable respiratory component support member configured to be disposed around a user's waist. In reference to claims 27 and 28, the method steps would have been obvious because they would have resulted from the use of the device of Ging.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings (figures 25 and 26) pertaining to the elected species must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "the clip openings of the first respiratory component comprise four generally parallel slots, and wherein the intermediate portion of the mounting clip is received in each of those slots" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 6, 8, 9, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ging et al. (US 6,907,882).

- 7. **As to claim 1,** Ging teaches an apparatus that comprises a first respiratory component **34** having at least two spaced apart clip openings **38** (see figure **5c**; col. **10** lines **34-52**); a second respiratory component **34** having at least two spaced apart clip openings **38** (see figure **5c**; col. **10** lines **34-52**); and a mounting clip **82** having an intermediate portion (the area located between the free ends **116**) and two spaced apart free ends **116** connected to the intermediate portion (see figures **9a** and **9b**), wherein the intermediate portion of the mounting clip is received within both of the clip openings of the first respiratory component (see figure **10a**) and the free ends of the mounting clip are received in the clip openings of the second respiratory component for releasably securing the first and second respiratory components together (see figure **10a**).
- 8. **As to claim 6,** Ging teaches an apparatus wherein the first and second respiratory component comprises a belt (see figures 5a and 5c).
- 9. **As to claim 8,** Ging teaches an apparatus wherein each free end of the mounting clip has a biased detent tab thereon for locking engagement with an opposed detent surface adjacent its respective clip opening on the second respiratory component (see figures 5a and 5c).
- 10. **As to claim 9,** Ging teaches an apparatus wherein the mounting clip is formed from polypropylene (see col. 15 lines 24-30).
- 11. **As to claim 27,** Ging teaches method steps comprises providing at least two spaced apart connector receptacles **38** on the respiratory component (**see figure 5c; col. 10 lines 34-52**); providing at least two generally parallel slots in the support member; providing a mounting clip **82** having an intermediate portion (**the section between 116 is defined as the intermediate section**) and two spaced apart free ends **116** projecting

therefrom; inserting the intermediate portion of the mounting clip into the slots on the support member, with the free ends of the mounting clip projecting from one side of the support member; and inserting the free ends of the mounting clip into the connector receptacles on the respiratory component (see figures 5a, 5c, 9a, 9b and 10a).

12. **As to claim 28,** Ging teaches method step comprises reinforcing the slots in the support member (see fig. 4).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claims **10-26, 29 and 30** are allowed.
- 14. **As to claims 10-26,** the prior art does not disclose a user-wearable respiratory component support member configured to be disposed around a user's waist.
- 15. **As to claims 29 and 30,** providing a support member configured to be disposed around a user's waist.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIHIR PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,177 Page 7

Art Unit: 3772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

/Nihir Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3772

/Patricia Bianco/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.